

# Lecture 1: Stability on abelian categories

Marcos Jardim

UFF, Niterói  
04 February 2020

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an abelian category; my personal favorites are  $\mathcal{C}oh(X)$  and  $\text{Rep}(Q)$ .

A *stability condition* on  $\mathcal{A}$  is the choice of a total pre-order  $\preceq$  on the class of non zero objects of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that, for every short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfies the *seesaw property*:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{either } A \preceq B &\iff A \preceq C \iff B \preceq C \\ \text{or } A \succeq B &\iff A \succeq C \iff B \succeq C \\ \text{or } A \asymp B &\iff A \asymp C \iff B \asymp C \end{aligned}$$

An nontrivial object  $A$  is (semi)stable if every nontrivial, proper sub-object  $B \hookrightarrow A$  satisfies  $B \prec (\preceq) A$ .

## Example

Any two non zero objects  $A$  and  $B$  satisfy  $A \asymp B$ . In this case, every object is semistable, and an object is stable if and only if it is simple (ie. it has no sub-objects).

In general, one can show that

$$\text{simple} \implies \text{stable} \implies \text{indecomposable}$$

Semistable objects might be decomposable.

## Example: Mumford–Takemoto stability

Let  $X$  be a smooth projective curve, and set  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{Coh}(X)$ , the category of coherent sheaves on  $X$ .

The slope of a sheaf on  $X$  is defined as follows:

$$\mu(E) := \begin{cases} \deg(E)/\mathrm{rk}(E) & \text{if } \mathrm{rk}(E) \neq 0 \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We then declare that  $F \preceq E$  when  $\mu(F) \leq \mu(E)$ .

Not hard to check that the seesaw property is satisfied.

## Example: King stability for quivers

Let  $Q = (Q_0, Q_1)$  be a quiver, and set  $\mathcal{A} = \text{Rep}(Q)$ , the category of linear representations of  $Q$ .

Let  $n = \#Q_0$  and choose vectors  $\theta \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ ; define the slope of a representation  $R$  as follows:

$$\mu(R) = \frac{\theta \cdot \dim R}{\alpha \cdot \dim R}.$$

Again, we declare that  $S \preceq R$  when  $\mu(S) \leq \mu(R)$ .

Just as in the previous example, one can also show that the seesaw property is satisfied.

# Elementary properties

Pretty much all of the basic familiar properties of  $\mu$ -stability for sheaves will generalize to arbitrary stability conditions on abelian categories.

For instance, let  $A$  and  $B$  be semistable objects with if  $B \preceq A$ . If  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$  is a nonzero morphism, then:

- $A \asymp B$ ;
- $B$  stable  $\implies \phi$  is an epimorphism;
- $A$  stable  $\implies \phi$  is a monomorphism;
- $A$  and  $B$  stable  $\implies \phi$  is an isomorphism.

Moreover, assume that  $\text{Hom}(A, B)$  is always a finite dimensional vector space over an algebraically closed field  $\kappa$ . Then every stable object is a Schur object, i.e.  $\text{Hom}(A, A) = \kappa$ .

# Weakly artinian, weakly noetherian

Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \preceq)$  be an abelian category equipped with a stability condition.

$(\mathcal{A}, \preceq)$  is *weakly artinian* if every descending chain

$$\dots \hookrightarrow A_2 \hookrightarrow A_1 \hookrightarrow A_0 = B$$

of sub-objects of an object  $B$  satisfying  $A_i \preceq A_{i+1}$  has to stabilize.

$(\mathcal{A}, \preceq)$  is *weakly noetherian* if every ascending chain

$$A_1 \hookrightarrow A_2 \hookrightarrow \dots \hookrightarrow B$$

of sub-objects of an object  $B$  satisfying both  $A_i \succeq A_{i+1}$  and  $A_i \preceq A_{i+1}$  has to stabilize.

## Theorem

*If  $(\mathcal{A}, \preceq)$  is weakly artinian and weakly noetherian, then every object  $B$  admits a unique filtration*

$$0 = B_0 \hookrightarrow B_1 \hookrightarrow \cdots \hookrightarrow B_n = B$$

*such that*

- 1 each factor  $G_i := B_i/B_{i-1}$  is semistable;
- 2  $G_1 \succ G_2 \succ \cdots \succ G_n$ .



## Theorem

*If  $(\mathcal{A}, \preceq)$  is weakly artinian and weakly noetherian, then every semistable object  $B$  admits a filtration*

$$0 = B_0 \hookrightarrow B_1 \hookrightarrow \cdots \hookrightarrow B_n = B$$

*such that*

- ❶ *each factor  $G_i := B_i/B_{i-1}$  is stable;*
- ❷  *$G_1 \asymp G_2 \asymp \cdots \asymp G_n$ .*

The Jordan–Holder filtration is not unique, but the associated graded object  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n G_i$  is.

# Numerical stability conditions, I

Let  $Z : K_0(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be an additive group homomorphism such that  $\mathbf{Im}(Z(A)) \geq 0$  for every object  $A$ , and  $\mathbf{Re}(Z(A)) < 0$  whenever  $\mathbf{Im}(Z(A)) = 0$ .

This is usually called a *central charge* for the category  $\mathcal{A}$ . Set

$$\mu_Z(A) := -\frac{\mathbf{Re}(Z(A))}{\mathbf{Im}(Z(A))}$$

the *slope* or *phase* associated to the central charge  $Z$ ; division by 0 is defined to be  $+\infty$ , as in the case of usual  $\mu$ -stability described above.

It induces, as in the two examples discussed above, a stability condition on  $\mathcal{A}$

$$A \preceq B \iff \mu_Z(A) \leq \mu_Z(B).$$

For the usual  $\mu$ -stability on  $\mathcal{C}oh(X)$ , one would take

$$Z(E) := -\deg(E) + \sqrt{-1} \operatorname{rk}(E)$$

However, this is not quite a central charge as defined above when  $\dim X \geq 2$ , since  $Z(\mathcal{O}_p) = 0$ .

Therefore, it is useful to consider a *weaker* version:

$Z : K_0(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is an additive group homomorphism such that  $\operatorname{Re}(Z(A)) \leq 0$  whenever  $\operatorname{Im}(Z(A)) = 0$ .

More generally, one may consider central charges taking values on a totally ordered  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space  $\Delta$  such that for  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $v \in \Delta$ , then  $a > 0, v > 0 \implies a \cdot v > 0, -v < 0$ .

# Polynomial stability conditions

As an example, take  $P : K_0(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}[t]$  such that for any object  $A$ , the polynomial  $P_A(t)$  has positive leading coefficient.

For instance,  $P_E(t)$  can be the Hilbert polynomial of a sheaf  $E$  on a polarized projective variety.

Given  $P_A(t) = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k t^k$  and  $P_b(t) = \sum_{k=1}^n b_k t^k$ , let  $\Lambda(A, B) := (\lambda_{m,m-1}, \dots, \lambda_{m,0}, \lambda_{m-1,m-2}, \dots, \lambda_{1,0})$  be the  $2 \times 2$  minors of the matrix of coefficients

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_m & \cdots & a_0 \\ b_m & \cdots & b_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Define:  $A \preceq B \iff \Lambda(A, B) \geq_{\text{lex}} 0$

- Usual Gieseker stability for sheaves on a polarized projective variety!

Two semistable objects are *S-equivalent* when the associated graded objects coming from their Jordan–Holder filtrations are isomorphic.

Clearly, two stable objects are S-equivalent if and only if they are isomorphic.

# Moduli sets of stable objects

Fix a class  $\gamma \in K_0(\mathcal{A})$  and consider the sets

$$\mathcal{A}(\gamma)^{sst} := \{A \in \mathcal{A} \mid [A] = \gamma, A \text{ semistable}\}$$

$$\text{and } \mathcal{M}(\gamma) := \mathcal{A}(\gamma)^{sst} / \text{S-equiv}$$

- Does the moduli set  $\mathcal{M}(\gamma)$  admits some kind of geometric structure (stack, scheme, projective variety)?

Sheaves, quivers:  $\mathcal{A}(\gamma)$  can be given the structure of a projective variety, and S-equivalence is translated into a group action; then the Hilbert-Mumford criterion in GIT can be translated into a numerical stability condition in  $\mathcal{A}$ !!

More on Joyce's series *Configurations in abelian categories, I-IV*.

See you tomorrow!

