

GRADIENT BEHAVIOUR FOR LARGE SOLUTIONS TO SEMILINEAR ELLIPTIC PROBLEMS

STEFANO BUCCHERI

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE DE BRASÍLIA

1. THE PROBLEM [4], [5], [1], [2]

Given a smooth bounded set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{N}^N$, with $N \geq 1$, $p > 1$ and $f \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$, let us consider the unique large solution $u \in C^2(\Omega)$ of

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + |u|^{p-1}u = f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = +\infty & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (\text{P})$$

Local $L^\infty(\Omega)$ a priori estimates represent the key idea in proving existence of solution for (P). The achievement of such estimates is strictly related with the following one dimensional problem,

$$-\phi'' + |\phi|^{p-1}\phi = 0, \quad s > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \phi(s) = +\infty,$$

whose explicit solution is

$$\phi = \sigma_0 s^{-\alpha} \quad \text{with} \quad \alpha = \frac{2}{p-1}, \quad \sigma_0 = [\alpha(\alpha+1)]^{\frac{1}{p-1}}.$$

NOTE: Problem (P) admits a solution for a more general nonlinearity $g(u)$ that satisfies the Keller-Osserman growth condition.

$$\exists t_0 : \psi(t) := \int_t^\infty \frac{ds}{\sqrt{2G(s)}} < \infty \quad t > t_0 \quad \text{where} \quad G'(s) = g(s).$$

QUESTION: Can we describe the explosive behaviour of u near the boundary? Is it affected by the geometry of the domain?

4. THEOREM'S APPENDIX

Let us provide the explicit expression of the functions σ_k with $k = 0, \dots, [\alpha] + 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_0 &:= [\alpha(\alpha+1)]^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \\ \sigma_1(x) &:= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\alpha\sigma_0}{1+2\alpha} \Delta d(x) = \sigma_0 \frac{\alpha(N-1)H(x)}{2(1+2\alpha)} \\ \sigma_k(x) &:= \frac{(\alpha+1-k)[\sigma_{k-1}(x)\Delta d(x) + 2\nabla\sigma_{k-1}(x)\nabla d(x)] + \Delta\sigma_{k-2}(x)}{(k-\alpha)(k-\alpha-1) - (2+\alpha)(\alpha+1)} \\ &\quad + \frac{\sigma_0^p}{(k-\alpha)(k-\alpha-1) - (2+\alpha)(\alpha+1)} \times \\ &\quad \sum_{j=2}^k \left[\binom{p}{j} \sigma_0^{-j} \sum_{i_1+\dots+i_j=k} \sigma_{i_1}(x) \cdots \sigma_{i_j}(x) \right] \end{aligned}$$

for $k = 2 \cdots [\alpha] + 1$ and i_1, \dots, i_j positive integers.

2. BOUNDARY BEHAVIOUR: WHAT WAS KNOWN

Asymptotic expansion for u [Bandle, Essén, Marcus...]

$$u(x) = \sigma_0 d(x)^{-\alpha} + \sigma_1(x) d(x)^{-\alpha+1} + o(d^{-\alpha+1}(x)) \quad \text{as} \quad d(x) \rightarrow 0.$$

where $d(x) \equiv \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)$ and

$$\alpha = \frac{2}{p-1}, \quad \sigma_0 = [\alpha(\alpha+1)]^{\frac{1}{p-1}}, \quad \sigma_1(x) = \sigma_0 \frac{\alpha(N-1)H(x)}{2(1+2\alpha)},$$

and $H(x)$ is equal to the curvature of $\partial\Omega$ at point \bar{x} , the projection of x on the boundary.

Asymptotic expansion for ∇u [Bandle, Essén, Marcus...]

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu} u(x) = -\alpha\sigma_0 d(x)^{-\alpha-1} + o(d^{-\alpha-1}(x)) \quad \text{as} \quad d(x) \rightarrow 0,$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau} u(x) = o(d^{-\alpha-1}(x)) \quad \text{as} \quad d(x) \rightarrow 0.$$

3. THE MAIN RESULT [3]

THEOREM 1 Assume Ω of class $C^{[\alpha]+5}$. Then there exists explicit functions $\sigma_k \in C^{[\alpha]+5-k}$ with $k = 0, \dots, [\alpha] + 1$ such that, defining

$$S(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{[\alpha]+1} \sigma_k(x) d^{k-\alpha}(x),$$

it results

$$z(x) := u(x) - S(x) \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega).$$

Moreover it also holds true

$$\forall \bar{x} \in \partial\Omega \quad z(\bar{x}) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{z(\bar{x} - \delta\nu(\bar{x}))}{\delta} = 0.$$

REMARK 2 It follows that

$$\lim_{d(x) \rightarrow 0} \frac{\partial u}{\partial\nu} - \sum_{k=0}^{[\alpha]+1} (\alpha-k)\sigma_k(x) d^{k-\alpha-1}(x) + \frac{\partial\sigma_k(x)}{\partial\nu} d^{k-\alpha}(x) = 0$$

while

$$\left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial\tau} - \sum_{k=0}^{[\alpha]+1} \frac{\partial\sigma_k(x)}{\partial\tau} d^{k-\alpha}(x) \right| \in L^\infty(\Omega)$$

$\forall \tau \in \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$ such that $\tau \cdot \nu = 0$.

5. THE PROOF IN SKETCHES

The family of approximating problems. Let us set

$$S_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{[\alpha]+1} \sigma_k(x) d_n^{k-\alpha}(x), \quad \text{with} \quad d_n(x) = d(x) + \frac{1}{n}, \quad (1)$$

and consider

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u_n + |u_n|^{p-1}u_n = f, & \text{in } \Omega \\ \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial\nu} = \frac{\partial S_n}{\partial\nu} & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

The equation solved by $z_n(x) := u_n(x) - S_n(x)$. It is easy to check that

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta z_n + |z_n + S_n|^{p-1}(z_n + S_n) - |S_n|^{p-1}S_n = \tilde{f}_n & \text{in } \Omega \\ \frac{\partial z_n}{\partial\nu} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

where

$$\tilde{f}_n = f + \Delta S_n - |S_n|^{p-1}S_n.$$

Steps of the proof.

- (i) $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $C_{loc}^2(\Omega)$, that implies $z_n \rightarrow z$ in $C_{loc}^2(\Omega)$;
- (ii) $\exists C = C(p, N, \Omega, f)$ such that $\|z_n\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \|\nabla z_n\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C$;
- (iii) $\forall \bar{x} \in \partial\Omega \quad z(\bar{x}) = 0$ and $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{z(\bar{x} - \delta\nu(\bar{x}))}{\delta} = 0$

MORE DETAILS CONCERNING STEP (ii) - BERNSTEIN TYPE ESTIMATES IN A NEIGHBORHOOD OF $\partial\Omega$

Inequality satisfied by $|\nabla z_n|^2$. Direct computations shows that $\nabla(|\nabla z_n|^2) = 2D^2 z_n \nabla z_n$

$$\text{and} \quad \Delta(|\nabla z_n|^2) = 2\nabla(\Delta z_n)\nabla z_n + 2|D^2 z_n|^2 \geq 2\nabla(\Delta z_n)\nabla z_n + \frac{2}{N}|\Delta z_n|^2.$$

Taking advantage of (2) and (1) we deduce the existence of δ_0, n_0 and C_1 such that

$$-\Delta(|\nabla z_n|^2) + \gamma \frac{|\nabla z_n|^2}{d_n^2} \leq \frac{C_1}{d_n^2} \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega_0 = \{x \in \Omega : d(x) < \delta_0\}, \quad \forall n > n_0. \quad (3)$$

Application of the maximum principle to $w_n := |\nabla z_n|^2 e^{\lambda d_n}$. We can take λ large enough to have

$$\frac{\partial w_n}{\partial\nu} \leq 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega. \quad (4)$$

Moreover, taking in to account (3), it follows that w_n satisfies

$$-\Delta w_n + 2\lambda \nabla w_n \nabla d_n + \frac{\gamma w_n}{2 d_n^2} \leq \frac{C_2}{d_n^2} \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega_0 \quad \text{and} \quad n > n_0. \quad (5)$$

Coupling equation (5) together with the boundary condition (4), we can apply the maximum principle to conclude that

$$\sup_{\Omega_0} w_n \leq C + \max_{\partial\Omega_0 \setminus \partial\Omega} w_n \leq C + C_1 \max_{\partial\Omega_0 \setminus \partial\Omega} |\nabla z_n|^2.$$

9. REFERENCES

- [1] C. Bandle, M. Essén, On the solutions of quasilinear elliptic problems with boundary blowup. *Symp. Math.*, **35** (1994), 93-111.
- [2] C. Bandle, M. Marcus, Asymptotic behaviour of solutions and their derivatives, for semi-linear elliptic problems with blowup on the boundary. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré*, **12** (1995), 155-171.
- [3] S. Buccheri, Gradient behaviour for large solutions to semilinear elliptic problems, *Ann. Mat. Pur. App.*, **198** (2019), 1013-1040.
- [4] J. B. Keller, The equilibrium of a charged gas in a container, *J. Rational Mech. Anal.* **5** (1956), 715-724.
- [5] R. Osserman, On the inequality $\Delta u \geq f(u)$. *Pac. J. Math.* **7** (1957), 1641-1647.
- [6] T. Leonori, A. Porretta, The boundary behaviour of blow-up solutions related to a stochastic control problem with state constraint. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **39** (2007), 1295-1327.
- [7] P.L. Lions, Résolution de problèmes elliptiques quasilineaires. *Arch. Rat. Mech.* **74** (1980), 234-254.