

ULRICH IDEALS AND MODULES, I

S. GOTO

My lecture is based on the recent joint work [GOTWY] and reports a generalization of Ulrich ideals and modules in a given Cohen-Macaulay local ring A . The purpose is to explore their structure and give some applications. I will talk about Part I, the basic theory of Ulrich ideals and modules, and K.-i. Watanabe will talk about Part II, exploring Ulrich ideals and modules in two-dimensional rational singularities.

Let (A, \mathfrak{m}) be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring and $d = \dim A \geq 0$. We assume that the residue class field A/\mathfrak{m} of A is infinite. Let M be a finitely generated A -module. In [BHU] Bernd Ulrich and other authors gave structure theorems of Maximally Generated Maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules, i.e., those Cohen-Macaulay A -modules M such that $\dim_A M = d$ and $e_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(M) = \mu_A(M)$, where $e_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(M)$ (resp. $\mu_A(M)$) denotes the multiplicity of M with respect to \mathfrak{m} (resp. the number of elements in a minimal system of generators for M). Let us call these modules MGMCM or, simply, Ulrich modules ([HK]), which we shall generalize in the following way.

Definition 1. Let I be an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal in A and let M be a finitely generated A -module. Then M is called an Ulrich A -module with respect to I , if

- (1) M is a Cohen-Macaulay A -module with $\dim_A M = d$,
- (2) $e_I^0(M) = \ell_A(M/IM)$, and
- (3) M/IM is A/I -free,

where $\ell_A(M/IM)$ stands for the length of M/IM .

If the ideal I contains a parameter ideal Q as a reduction, condition (2) is equivalent to saying that $IM = QM$, provided M is a Cohen-Macaulay A -module with $\dim_A M = d$. Remember that Ulrich modules with respect to the maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} are exactly Ulrich modules in the sense of [HK].

We now define Ulrich ideals.

Definition 2. Let I be an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal in A . Then we say that I is an Ulrich ideal of A , if I/I^2 is A/I -free, I is not a parameter ideal of A , but contains a minimal reduction Q such that $I^2 = QI$.

When $I = \mathfrak{m}$, this condition is equivalent to saying that our Cohen-Macaulay local ring A is not a RLR, possessing maximal embedding dimension in the sense of J. Sally [S].

In my lecture I will report some basic structure theorems of Ulrich modules and ideals, including the following.

Theorem 3. *The following three conditions are equivalent, where $\text{Syz}_A^i(A/I)$ ($i \geq 0$) stands for the i^{th} syzygy module of the A -module A/I in a minimal free resolution.*

- (1) I is an Ulrich ideal of A .
- (2) $\text{Syz}_A^i(A/I)$ is an Ulrich A -module with respect to I for all $i \geq d$.
- (3) There exists an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow F \rightarrow Y \rightarrow 0$$

of A -modules such that

- (a) F is a finitely generated free A -module,
- (b) $X \subseteq \mathfrak{m}F$, and
- (c) both X and Y are Ulrich A -modules with respect to I .

When $d > 0$, one can add the following.

- (4) $\mu_A(I) > d$, I/I^2 is A/I -free, and $\text{Syz}_A^i(A/I)$ is an Ulrich A -module with respect to I for some $i \geq d$.

It seems interesting to explore how many Ulrich ideals are contained in a given Cohen–Macaulay local ring. For example, let $k[[t]]$ be the formal power series ring over a field k and let

$$A = k[[t^{a_1}, t^{a_2}, \dots, t^{a_\ell}]] \subseteq k[[t]]$$

be a numerical semigroup ring, where $0 < a_1, a_2, \dots, a_\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\text{GCD}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_\ell) = 1$. Let \mathcal{X}_A^g be the set of Ulrich ideals in A which are generated by monomials in t . It is then not difficult to check that \mathcal{X}_A^g is finite, and just for example, we get the following.

- (1) $\mathcal{X}_{k[[t^3, t^4, t^5]]}^g = \{\mathfrak{m}\}$.
- (2) $\mathcal{X}_{k[[t^4, t^5, t^6]]}^g = \{(t^4, t^6)\}$.
- (3) $\mathcal{X}_{k[[t^a, t^{a+1}, \dots, t^{2a-2}]]}^g = \emptyset$, if $a \geq 5$.
- (4) Let $1 < a < b$ be integers such that $\text{GCD}(a, b) = 1$. Then $\mathcal{X}_{k[[t^a, t^b]]}^g \neq \emptyset$ if and only if a or b is even.
- (5) Let $A = k[[t^4, t^6, t^{4\ell-1}]]$ ($\ell \geq 2$). Then $\#\mathcal{X}_A^g = 2\ell - 2$.

Let $\mathcal{X}_A = \{I \mid I \text{ is an Ulrich ideal of } A\}$. We then have the following.

Theorem 4. *Suppose that A is of finite C-M representation type. Then \mathcal{X}_A is a finite set.*

When A is of finite C-M representation type and $\dim A = 1$, I will give a complete list of Ulrich ideals in A .

REFERENCES

- [BHU] J. Brennan, J. Herzog, and B. Ulrich, *Maximally generated Cohen-Macaulay modules*, Math. Scand. **61**, 1987, 181–203.
- [GOTWY] S. Goto, K. Ozeki, R. Takahashi, K.-i. Watanabe, and K.-i. Yoshida, *Ulrich ideals and modules*, Preprint 2012.
- [HK] J. Herzog and M. Kühl, *Maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules over Gorenstein rings and Bourbaki sequences*. *Commutative Algebra and Combinatorics*, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., **11**, 1987, 65–92.
- [S] J. Sally, *Cohen–Macaulay local rings of maximal embedding dimension*, J. Algebra, **56** (1979), 168–183.