

# Geometric properties of partially hyperbolic attractors

Rafael Potrie

CMAT-Universidad de la Republica

2nd Palis-Balzan Conference in Dynamics

IHP-Paris

*rpotrie@cmat.edu.uy*

June 11th 2013

$f : M \rightarrow M$  a  $C^1$ -diffeomorphism.  $\Lambda$  is a partially hyperbolic set  
( $T_\Lambda M = E^s \oplus E^c \oplus E^u$ ) saturated by  $W^u$ .

$f : M \rightarrow M$  a  $C^1$ -diffeomorphism.  $\Lambda$  is a partially hyperbolic set  
( $T_\Lambda M = E^s \oplus E^c \oplus E^u$ ) saturated by  $W^u$ .

## Examples

- $\Lambda$  attractor (not-necessarily transitive):  $\Lambda = \bigcap_{n>0} f^n(U)$  with  $f(\bar{U}) \subset U$ .

$f : M \rightarrow M$  a  $C^1$ -diffeomorphism.  $\Lambda$  is a partially hyperbolic set ( $T_\Lambda M = E^s \oplus E^c \oplus E^u$ ) saturated by  $W^u$ .

## Examples

- $\Lambda$  *attractor* (not-necessarily transitive):  $\Lambda = \bigcap_{n>0} f^n(U)$  with  $f(\overline{U}) \subset U$ .
- $\Lambda$  is a *quasi-attractor*: Decreasing intersection of attractors which is chain-recurrent (for example, a transitive attractor)

$f : M \rightarrow M$  a  $C^1$ -diffeomorphism.  $\Lambda$  is a partially hyperbolic set ( $T_\Lambda M = E^s \oplus E^c \oplus E^u$ ) saturated by  $W^u$ .

## Examples

- $\Lambda$  *attractor* (not-necessarily transitive):  $\Lambda = \bigcap_{n>0} f^n(U)$  with  $f(\overline{U}) \subset U$ .
- $\Lambda$  is a *quasi-attractor*: Decreasing intersection of attractors which is chain-recurrent (for example, a transitive attractor)
- $\Lambda$  is a Hausdorff limit of quasi-attractors  $\Lambda_n$ .

- Study the geometry of minimal  $W^u$ -saturated sets.
- Finiteness of such minimal sets.

## Conjecture (Palis)

*Bifurcations (such as tangencies) should be the obstructions for well understood phenomena (hyperbolicity/ finite spectral decomposition).*

## Conjecture (Palis)

*Bifurcations (such as tangencies) should be the obstructions for well understood phenomena (hyperbolicity/ finite spectral decomposition).*

Recent progress (Crovisier, Pujals, Sambarino, D. Yang, J. Yang) implies that partially hyperbolic sets (with  $\dim E^c = 1$ ) are abundant  $C^1$ -far from tangencies.



## Conjecture (Palis)

*Bifurcations (such as tangencies) should be the obstructions for well understood phenomena (hyperbolicity/ finite spectral decomposition).*

Recent progress (Crovisier, Pujals, Sambarino, D. Yang, J. Yang) implies that partially hyperbolic sets (with  $\dim E^c = 1$ ) are abundant  $C^1$ -far from tangencies.

## Conjecture (Bonatti-Tameness Conjecture)

*A  $C^1$ -generic diffeomorphism far from homoclinic tangencies has finitely many basic pieces ("spectral decomposition").*

### Theorem (Crovisier-Pujals-Sambarino)

*$C^1$ -far from homoclinic tangencies there are finitely many sinks and sources (No Newhouse Phenomena).*

Bonatti and Diaz showed that when tangencies are present (in  $\dim M \geq 3$ ) one may have Newhouse phenomena.

### Theorem (Crovisier-Pujals-Sambarino)

*$C^1$ -far from homoclinic tangencies there are finitely many sinks and sources (No Newhouse Phenomena).*

Bonatti and Diaz showed that when tangencies are present (in  $\dim M \geq 3$ ) one may have Newhouse phenomena.

### Theorem (Bonatti-Gan-Li-D.Yang)

*Far from tangencies quasi-attractors are isolated from each other.*

But in principle, they may accumulate!

### Theorem (Crovisier-Pujals-Sambarino)

*$C^1$ -far from homoclinic tangencies there are finitely many sinks and sources (No Newhouse Phenomena).*

Bonatti and Diaz showed that when tangencies are present (in  $\dim M \geq 3$ ) one may have Newhouse phenomena.

### Theorem (Bonatti-Gan-Li-D.Yang)

*Far from tangencies quasi-attractors are isolated from each other.*

But in principle, they may accumulate!

**IMPORTANT REMARK:** If quasi-attractors accumulate, their Hausdorff limit is a partially hyperbolic set as in our hypothesis. This motivates the study of such sets.

## Theorem (Joint with S.Crovisier and M.Sambarino)

*For a diffeomorphism  $f$  in an open and dense subset of  $\text{Diff}^1(M)$  if  $\Lambda$  is a  $W^u$ -saturated partially hyperbolic set then  $\Lambda$  displays a “(uniform) form of non joint integrability of  $E^s \oplus E^u$ ”.*

## Theorem (Joint with S.Crovisier and M.Sambarino)

*For a diffeomorphism  $f$  in an open and dense subset of  $\text{Diff}^1(M)$  if  $\Lambda$  is a  $W^u$ -saturated partially hyperbolic set then  $\Lambda$  displays a “(uniform) form of non joint integrability of  $E^s \oplus E^u$ ”.*

Related to open and denseness of accesibility (Dolgopyat-Wilkinson) but harder, since it controls non-integrability in proper subsets. Even if the whole  $M$  is partially hyperbolic, the result does not follow from density of stable accesibility.

Geometry of partially hyperbolic  $W^u$ -saturated sets also appears in work of Crovisier-Pujals and Abdenur-Crovisier.

# Idea of the proof

## Consequence 1

*If  $f$  belongs to an open and dense subset of  $\text{Diff}^1(M)$  and  $\Lambda$  is a  $W^u$ -saturated compact partially hyperbolic set with  $\dim E^c = 1$  then  $\Lambda$  contains finitely many minimal  $W^u$ -saturated sets.*



## Consequence 1

*If  $f$  belongs to an open and dense subset of  $\text{Diff}^1(M)$  and  $\Lambda$  is a  $W^u$ -saturated compact partially hyperbolic set with  $\dim E^c = 1$  then  $\Lambda$  contains finitely many minimal  $W^u$ -saturated sets.*

## Consequence 2

*In an open and dense subset of  $\text{Diff}^1(M)$  far from homoclinic tangencies for  $M$  of dimension 3 there are at most finitely many quasi-attractors.*

# Some ideas

Thanks!

