

# Partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms with 2-dimensional center

Marcelo Viana  
(with A. Avila)

Instituto de Matemática Pura e Aplicada

# Invariance Principle

This lecture is an advertisement for the following 'statement':

## Invariance Principle

For the Lyapunov exponents to vanish, it is necessary that the fibers carry a lot of (transversely) invariant structure.

# Invariance Principle

This lecture is an advertisement for the following 'statement':

## Invariance Principle

For the Lyapunov exponents to vanish, it is necessary that the fibers carry a lot of (transversely) invariant structure.

**Bonatti, Gomez-Mont, V:** linear version, with hyperbolic base map

**Avila, V:** non-linear extension, with hyperbolic base map

**Avila, Santamaria, V:** non-linear, partially hyperbolic volume preserving base map

# Invariance Principle

This lecture is an advertisement for the following 'statement':

## Invariance Principle

For the Lyapunov exponents to vanish, it is necessary that the fibers carry a lot of (transversely) invariant structure.

**Bonatti, Gomez-Mont, V**: linear version, with hyperbolic base map

**Avila, V**: non-linear extension, with hyperbolic base map

**Avila, Santamaria, V**: non-linear, partially hyperbolic volume preserving base map

Used by: **Wilkinson** (Livsič theory of partially hyperbolic maps), **Yang, V** (SRB measures), **Hertz, Hertz, Tahzibi, Ures** (measures of maximal entropy), **Kocsard, Potrie** (Livsič theory of smooth cocycles)

# Stable ergodicity

Let  $A : \mathbb{T}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^4$  be a linear automorphism with two eigenvalues in the unit circle.

Then  $A$  is a partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism of the torus, with 2-dimensional center direction.

# Stable ergodicity

Let  $A : \mathbb{T}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^4$  be a linear automorphism with two eigenvalues in the unit circle.

Then  $A$  is a partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism of the torus, with 2-dimensional center direction.

Assume that no eigenvalue is a root of unity. Then  $A$  is ergodic relative to the volume (Haar) measure.

**Federico Rodriguez Hertz** proved that  $A$  is **stably ergodic**: every volume preserving diffeomorphism in a neighborhood is ergodic.

## Stable Bernoulli property

Fix any symplectic form  $\omega$  on  $\mathbb{T}^4$  invariant under  $A$ . Then

### Theorem (Artur Avila, MV)

Every  $\omega$ -symplectic diffeomorphism  $f : \mathbb{T}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^4$  in a neighborhood of  $A$  is ergodically equivalent to a Bernoulli shift. In fact,

- either  $f$  is non-uniformly hyperbolic (all Lyapunov exponents are different from zero)
- or else  $f$  is conjugate to  $A$  by some volume preserving diffeomorphism.

## Some extensions

We consider  $C^\infty$  diffeomorphisms. The theorem extends to finite differentiability ( $C^k$  with  $k \geq 22$ , say).

The theorem also remains true for any symplectic pseudo-Anosov  $A : \mathbb{T}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^d$  in any (even) dimension  $d \geq 4$ , with  $\dim E^c = 2$ . But the conjugacy is only a volume preserving homeomorphism.



# Lyapunov exponents

Every nearby diffeomorphism  $f : \mathbb{T}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^4$  is partially hyperbolic, with invariant splitting  $E^u \oplus E^c \oplus E^s$  having  $\dim E^c = 2$ .

All the iterates of  $f$  are ergodic, by [F. Rodriguez Hertz](#).

Let  $\lambda^u > \lambda_1^c \geq \lambda_2^c > \lambda^s$  be the Lyapunov exponents. Symplecticity implies that  $\lambda^u + \lambda^s = \lambda_1^c + \lambda_2^c = 0$ .

# Lyapunov exponents

Every nearby diffeomorphism  $f : \mathbb{T}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^4$  is partially hyperbolic, with invariant splitting  $E^u \oplus E^c \oplus E^s$  having  $\dim E^c = 2$ .

All the iterates of  $f$  are ergodic, by [F. Rodriguez Hertz](#).

Let  $\lambda^u > \lambda_1^c \geq \lambda_2^c > \lambda^s$  be the Lyapunov exponents. Symplecticity implies that  $\lambda^u + \lambda^s = \lambda_1^c + \lambda_2^c = 0$ .

**Case 1:**  $\lambda_1^c > 0 > \lambda_2^c$

Then  $f$  is non-uniformly hyperbolic and so, by [Ornstein, Weiss](#), it is equivalent to a Bernoulli shift.

# Vanishing Lyapunov exponents

**Case 2:**  $\lambda_1^c = \lambda_2^c = 0$

The hard case. To prove conjugacy to the linear automorphism we must recover an Abelian group structure on the torus compatible with the dynamics of  $f$ .

In the hardest (accessible) case, this is produced from an invariant **translation structure** on the center leaves, which is itself an upgrade of an invariant **conformal structure** on the center leaves.

# Stable and unstable holonomies

Every  $f$  close to  $A$  is partially hyperbolic, dynamically coherent, and center bunched: for some choice of the norm,

$$\|D_x^c f\| \|(D_x^c f)^{-1}\| < \min\left\{\frac{1}{\|D_x^s f\|}, \frac{1}{\|(D_x^u f)^{-1}\|}\right\}.$$

# Stable and unstable holonomies

Every  $f$  close to  $A$  is partially hyperbolic, dynamically coherent, and center bunched: for some choice of the norm,

$$\|D_x^c f\| \|(D_x^c f)^{-1}\| < \min\left\{\frac{1}{\|D_x^s f\|}, \frac{1}{\|(D_x^u f)^{-1}\|}\right\}.$$

Given  $x, y$  in the same strong stable leaf, the strong stable leaf of any  $z \in W_x^c$  intersects  $W_y^c$  in exactly one point  $H_{x,y}^s(z)$ .

# Stable and unstable holonomies

Every  $f$  close to  $A$  is partially hyperbolic, dynamically coherent, and center bunched: for some choice of the norm,

$$\|D_x^c f\| \|(D_x^c f)^{-1}\| < \min\left\{\frac{1}{\|D_x^s f\|}, \frac{1}{\|(D_x^u f)^{-1}\|}\right\}.$$

Given  $x, y$  in the same strong stable leaf, the strong stable leaf of any  $z \in W_x^c$  intersects  $W_y^c$  in exactly one point  $H_{x,y}^s(z)$ .

The map  $H_{x,y}^s : W_x^c \rightarrow W_y^c$  is a  $C^1$  diffeomorphism. Consider the **stable holonomies**

$$h_{x,y}^s = \mathbb{P}(DH_{x,y}^s) : \mathbb{P}(E_x^c) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(E_y^c)$$

**Unstable holonomies** are defined analogously.

# Invariance Principle

Remember that we are dealing with the case  $\lambda_c^1 = \lambda_c^2 = 0$ .  
 The main step is to prove that  $f$  can not be accessible.

## Theorem

If  $f$  is accessible then there exists a family  $\{m_x : x \in M\}$  satisfying

- 1 each  $m_x$  is a probability measure on projective space  $\mathbb{P}(E_x^c)$ .
- 2  $\mathbb{P}(D_x^c f)_* m_x = m_{f(x)}$  for every  $x$ .
- 3  $(h_{x,y}^s)_* m_x = m_y$  for all  $x, y$  in the same strong stable leaf.
- 4  $(h_{x,y}^u)_* m_x = m_y$  for all  $x, y$  in the same strong unstable leaf.
- 5  $x \mapsto m_x$  is continuous, with respect to weak\* topology.

## From probability measures to conformal structures

Let  $0$  be a fixed point of  $f$ . The derivative  $D_0^c f$  is close to  $A \mid E_A^c$ , which is an irrational rotation (no eigenvalue is a root of unity).

Then,  $m_0$  has no atom of mass  $\geq 1/2$  on  $\mathbb{P}(E_0^c)$ . The same is true for every  $m_x$ , by accessibility and holonomy invariance.



# From probability measures to conformal structures

Let  $0$  be a fixed point of  $f$ . The derivative  $D_0^c f$  is close to  $A \mid E_A^c$ , which is an irrational rotation (no eigenvalue is a root of unity).

Then,  $m_0$  has no atom of mass  $\geq 1/2$  on  $\mathbb{P}(E_0^c)$ . The same is true for every  $m_x$ , by accessibility and holonomy invariance.

Then, by the barycenter construction of [Douady, Earle](#), each  $m_x$  determines a conformal structure on  $E_x^c$ . This provides each  $W_x^c$  with the conformal structure of the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$ .

This structure is continuous and is invariant under the dynamics, the stable holonomies and the unstable holonomies.

## From conformal structure to translation structure

Fix any uniformization  $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow W_0^c$ . This also chooses a translation structure on  $W_0^c$ . Push this structure to all the other center leaves by stable/unstable holonomy, using accessibility.

# From conformal structure to translation structure

Fix any uniformization  $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow W_0^c$ . This also chooses a translation structure on  $W_0^c$ . Push this structure to all the other center leaves by stable/unstable holonomy, using accessibility.

We need to check that the composite holonomy  $H_\gamma$  along any  $su$ -path  $\gamma$  returning to  $W_0^c$  preserves the translation structure.

# From conformal structure to translation structure

Fix any uniformization  $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow W_0^c$ . This also chooses a translation structure on  $W_0^c$ . Push this structure to all the other center leaves by stable/unstable holonomy, using accessibility.

We need to check that the composite holonomy  $H_\gamma$  along any  $su$ -path  $\gamma$  returning to  $W_0^c$  preserves the translation structure.

As  $H_\gamma : W_0^c \rightarrow W_0^c$  is a conformal automorphism,  $H_\gamma(z) = az + b$ .

# From conformal structure to translation structure

Fix any uniformization  $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow W_0^c$ . This also chooses a translation structure on  $W_0^c$ . Push this structure to all the other center leaves by stable/unstable holonomy, using accessibility.

We need to check that the composite holonomy  $H_\gamma$  along any  $su$ -path  $\gamma$  returning to  $W_0^c$  preserves the translation structure.

As  $H_\gamma : W_0^c \rightarrow W_0^c$  is a conformal automorphism,  $H_\gamma(z) = az + b$ .

We prove that there is  $C(\gamma) > 0$  such that  $d(H_\gamma(z), z) \leq C(\gamma)$  for every  $z \in W_0^c$ . This uses that center leaves  $W_x^c$  are at uniformly bounded distance from the center spaces  $E_x^c$  (F. Rodriguez Hertz).

# From conformal structure to translation structure

Fix any uniformization  $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow W_0^c$ . This also chooses a translation structure on  $W_0^c$ . Push this structure to all the other center leaves by stable/unstable holonomy, using accessibility.

We need to check that the composite holonomy  $H_\gamma$  along any  $su$ -path  $\gamma$  returning to  $W_0^c$  preserves the translation structure.

As  $H_\gamma : W_0^c \rightarrow W_0^c$  is a conformal automorphism,  $H_\gamma(z) = az + b$ .

We prove that there is  $C(\gamma) > 0$  such that  $d(H_\gamma(z), z) \leq C(\gamma)$  for every  $z \in W_0^c$ . This uses that center leaves  $W_x^c$  are at uniformly bounded distance from the center spaces  $E_x^c$  (F. Rodriguez Hertz).

Then we deduce that  $a = 1$ .

# From translation structure to algebraic model

The translation structure on central leaves defines an  $\mathbb{R}^2$  action

$$\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^4, \quad (v, x) \mapsto \tau_v(x)$$

where  $\tau_v$  is the translation by  $v$  along each center leaf.

# From translation structure to algebraic model

The translation structure on central leaves defines an  $\mathbb{R}^2$  action

$$\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^4, \quad (v, x) \mapsto \tau_v(x)$$

where  $\tau_v$  is the translation by  $v$  along each center leaf.

$G = \overline{\{\tau_v : v \in \mathbb{R}^2\}}$  is a compact group of homeomorphisms of  $\mathbb{T}^4$ .  
Its action on  $\mathbb{T}^4$  is Abelian, transitive and free.

So,  $\phi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^4$ ,  $g \mapsto g(0)$  is a homeomorphism from  $G$  to  $\mathbb{T}^4$ .  
 $\tilde{f} = \phi^{-1} \circ f \circ \phi$  is a group automorphism, and it is conjugate to  $A$ .



# From translation structure to algebraic model

The translation structure on central leaves defines an  $\mathbb{R}^2$  action

$$\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^4, \quad (v, x) \mapsto \tau_v(x)$$

where  $\tau_v$  is the translation by  $v$  along each center leaf.

$G = \overline{\{\tau_v : v \in \mathbb{R}^2\}}$  is a compact group of homeomorphisms of  $\mathbb{T}^4$ .  
Its action on  $\mathbb{T}^4$  is Abelian, transitive and free.

So,  $\phi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^4$ ,  $g \mapsto g(0)$  is a homeomorphism from  $G$  to  $\mathbb{T}^4$ .  
 $\tilde{f} = \phi^{-1} \circ f \circ \phi$  is a group automorphism, and it is conjugate to  $A$ .

This proves that  $f$  is conjugate to  $A$ . This conjugacy preserves the strong stable, strong unstable and center foliations.

Since  $A$  is not accessible, it follows that  $f$  is not accessible.

## The non-accessible case

By **F. Rodriguez Hertz**,  $E^u \oplus E^s$  is integrable and the  $su$ -foliation is smooth. Moreover,  $f$  is topologically conjugate to  $A$ .

## The non-accessible case

By **F. Rodriguez Hertz**,  $E^u \oplus E^s$  is integrable and the  $su$ -foliation is smooth. Moreover,  $f$  is topologically conjugate to  $A$ .

Using that  $E^c$  is symplectic orthogonal to  $E^u \oplus E^s$ , we show that the center foliation is also smooth.

## The non-accessible case

By [F. Rodriguez Hertz](#),  $E^u \oplus E^s$  is integrable and the  $su$ -foliation is smooth. Moreover,  $f$  is topologically conjugate to  $A$ .

Using that  $E^c$  is symplectic orthogonal to  $E^u \oplus E^s$ , we show that the center foliation is also smooth.

The  $su$ -holonomy (respectively, center holonomy) preserves the area measure defined by the symplectic form  $\omega$  on the center leaves (respectively,  $su$ -leaves).

We deduce that the conjugacy preserves volume. [Katznelson](#) has shown that  $A$  is Bernoulli, so  $f$  is Bernoulli.

## The non-accessible case

By [F. Rodriguez Hertz](#),  $E^u \oplus E^s$  is integrable and the  $su$ -foliation is smooth. Moreover,  $f$  is topologically conjugate to  $A$ .

Using that  $E^c$  is symplectic orthogonal to  $E^u \oplus E^s$ , we show that the center foliation is also smooth.

The  $su$ -holonomy (respectively, center holonomy) preserves the area measure defined by the symplectic form  $\omega$  on the center leaves (respectively,  $su$ -leaves).

We deduce that the conjugacy preserves volume. [Katznelson](#) has shown that  $A$  is Bernoulli, so  $f$  is Bernoulli.

When  $d = 4$  (hence  $\dim E^u = \dim E^s = 1$ ), we can use methods of [Avila, V, Wilkinson](#) to show that the conjugacy is  $C^\infty$ .

## Area preserving cocycles

Consider  $F : M \times N \rightarrow M \times N$ ,  $(x, y) \mapsto (f(x), g(x, y))$ , where  $N$  is a surface and  $f$  is Anosov.

Assume:  $F$  is volume preserving, partially hyperbolic with  $E^c =$  vertical bundle, center bunched and accessible (hence, ergodic).

# Area preserving cocycles

Consider  $F : M \times N \rightarrow M \times N$ ,  $(x, y) \mapsto (f(x), g(x, y))$ , where  $N$  is a surface and  $f$  is Anosov.

Assume:  $F$  is volume preserving, partially hyperbolic with  $E^c =$  vertical bundle, center bunched and accessible (hence, ergodic).

Consider the Lyapunov exponents

$$\lambda_+(F) = \lim_n \frac{1}{n} \log \|\partial_y g^n(x, y)\|$$

$$\lambda_-(F) = \lim_n -\frac{1}{n} \log \|\partial_y g^n(x, y)^{-1}\|$$

# Area preserving cocycles

Consider  $F : M \times N \rightarrow M \times N$ ,  $(x, y) \mapsto (f(x), g(x, y))$ , where  $N$  is a surface and  $f$  is Anosov.

Assume:  $F$  is volume preserving, partially hyperbolic with  $E^c =$  vertical bundle, center bunched and accessible (hence, ergodic).

Consider the Lyapunov exponents

$$\lambda_+(F) = \lim_n \frac{1}{n} \log \|\partial_y g^n(x, y)\|$$

$$\lambda_-(F) = \lim_n -\frac{1}{n} \log \|\partial_y g^n(x, y)^{-1}\|$$

( $M \times N$  may be replaced by any fiber bundle over  $M$  whose fiber is a surface)



# Area preserving cocycles

## Theorem

If  $\text{genus}(N) \geq 2$  then  $\lambda_+ > 0 > \lambda_-$  and  $F$  is a continuity point for the Lyapunov exponents.

# Area preserving cocycles

## Theorem

If  $\text{genus}(N) \geq 2$  then  $\lambda_+ > 0 > \lambda_-$  and  $F$  is a continuity point for the Lyapunov exponents.

**Rough idea:** By an application of the Invariance Principle, for the Lyapunov exponents to vanish there must exist either an invariant continuous line field, or an invariant pair of transverse continuous line fields, on  $N$ .

Either alternative is incompatible with  $\text{genus}(N) \geq 2$ .