

1.3 The group algebra

G finite group

K field

Def: The group algebra of G (over K) is

$$K[G] = \left\{ \sum_{g \in G} a_g \cdot g \mid a_g \in K \right\}$$

with addition

$$\left(\sum a_g g \right) + \left(\sum b_g g \right) = \sum (a_g + b_g) g$$

and multiplication

$$\left(\sum a_g g \right) \cdot \left(\sum b_g g \right) = \sum_{g \in G} \left(\sum_{g=h_1 h_2} a_{h_1} b_{h_2} \right) g.$$

Rem: $K[G]$ is a (not necessarily commutative) ring

with $0 = \sum 0 \cdot g$ and $1 = 1 \cdot e + \sum_{g \neq e} 0 \cdot g$

• The map $K \rightarrow K[G]$
 $a \mapsto a \cdot 1 = a \cdot e + \sum_{g \neq e} 0 \cdot g$

is a ring homomorphism and turns $K[G]$ into a K -algebra.

• $G \rightarrow K[G]$ is a multiplicative map.
 $g \mapsto 1 \cdot g + \sum_{h \neq g} 0 \cdot h$

• There is a canonical isomorphism $\varphi: K^G \rightarrow K[G]$
 $[\varphi: G \rightarrow K] \mapsto \sum_{g \in G} \varphi(g) \cdot g$

of K -vector spaces. However, the obvious product

$$f_1 \cdot f_2: g \mapsto f_1(g) \cdot f_2(g)$$

for K^G differs from the product in $K[G]$, which corresponds instead to the convolution product

$$f_1 * f_2: g \mapsto \sum_{h \in G} f_1(g h) f_2(h^{-1})$$

for K^G .

Def: A $K[G]$ -module is an abelian group V

together with a map $\rho: K[G] \times V \rightarrow V$
 $(x, v) \mapsto x \cdot v$

such that

$$(1) \quad 1 \cdot v = v, \quad 0 \cdot v = 0, \quad x \cdot 0 = 0,$$

$$(2) \quad (xy) \cdot v = x \cdot (y \cdot v),$$

$$(3) \quad (x+y) \cdot v = x \cdot v + y \cdot v,$$

$$(4) \quad x \cdot (v+w) = x \cdot v + x \cdot w$$

for all $x, y \in K[G]$ and $v, w \in V$.

Rem: • Since $K \subset K[G]$, V is in particular a K -vector space.

• We have $\dim_K V < \infty$ iff. V is finitely generated as a $K[G]$ -module, i.e. \exists finite subset $S \subset V$ s.t.

$$\forall v \in V \quad \exists s_1, \dots, s_n \in S, \quad v_1, \dots, v_n \in K[G] \quad \text{s.t.} \quad v = \sum x_i \cdot s_i.$$

(since: $\dim_K K[G] = \#G < \infty$).

• If $\dim_K V < \infty$, then $\rho: G \rightarrow GL(V)$ with

$$\rho(g) \cdot v = g \cdot v = \rho(g, v) \quad \text{is a repr. of } G.$$

Def: A K[G]-linear map between K[G]-modules V and W is a group hom. $f: V \rightarrow W$ s.t. $f(x \cdot v) = x \cdot f(v)$ for all $x \in K[G]$ and $v \in V$, i.e.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K[G] \times V & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}_V} & V \\ (id, f) \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ K[G] \times W & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}_W} & W \end{array}$$

commutes.

- This defines the category $\text{Mod}(K[G])$ of K[G]-modules. We denote the full subcategory of finitely generated K[G]-modules by $\text{Mod}^f(K[G])$.

Rem: A K[G]-linear map $f: V \rightarrow W$ is, in particular,

- K-linear: $f(a \cdot v) = a \cdot f(v)$ (for $a \in K, v \in V$);
- G-equivariant: $f(g \cdot v) = g \cdot f(v)$ (for $g \in G, v \in V$).

Prop 1: The functor

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}: \text{Mod}^f(K[G]) &\longrightarrow \text{Rep}_K(G) \\ [\mathcal{A}: K[G] \times V \rightarrow V] &\longmapsto [\rho: G \rightarrow GL(V)] \\ [f: V \rightarrow W] &\longmapsto [f: V \rightarrow W] \end{aligned}$$

is an equivalence of categories.

proof: An inverse of \mathcal{F} is the following functor

$$\mathcal{G}: \text{Rep}_K(G) \rightarrow \text{Mod}^f(K[G]):$$

- for a repr. (ρ, V) of G , we define

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{G}) : \text{Ker } \mathcal{G} \times V \longrightarrow V$$

$$(\sum_{g \in G} g, v) \longmapsto \sum_{g \in G} (g.v)$$

• for a G -hom. $f: V \rightarrow W$, we define $\mathcal{G}(f) = f: V \rightarrow W$.

We leave it as an exercise to verify that \mathcal{G} is well-defined and a quasi-inverse of \mathcal{F} . □

1.4 Categorical properties

G finite group

K field

Def: V_1, \dots, V_r repr. of G

The direct sum of V_1, \dots, V_r is defined as

the K -vector space $\bigoplus_{i=1}^r V_i = \prod_{i=1}^r V_i$ together

the G -action

$$g.(v_1, \dots, v_r) = (g.v_1, \dots, g.v_r).$$

It comes with the canonical inclusions

$$\iota_j : V_j \longrightarrow \bigoplus V_i$$

$$v \longmapsto (0, \dots, v, \dots, 0)$$

↑
j-th coordinate

and the canonical projections

$$\pi_j : \bigoplus V_i \longrightarrow V_j$$

$$(v_1, \dots, v_r) \longmapsto v_j$$

for $j=1, \dots, r$.

Rem: $\bigoplus V_i$ together with $G \curvearrowright \bigoplus V_i$ defines indeed a G -repr. $\rho: G \rightarrow GL(\bigoplus V_i)$. The v_j and \bar{v}_j are G -elems.

Prop 1: $\bigoplus V_i$ together with $\{v_j\}$ and $\{\bar{v}_j\}$ is a biproduct of $V_1 - V_r$ in $\text{Rep}_K(G)$, i.e. $(\bigoplus V_i, \{v_j\})$ is a coproduct and $(\bigoplus V_i, \{\bar{v}_j\})$ is a product.

proof: • Universal property of a coproduct:

Given G -elems $f_j: V_j \rightarrow W$ for $j=1-r$ and some G -repr. W , we define $f: \bigoplus V_i \rightarrow W$,

$$(v_i - v_r) \mapsto f_i(v_i) + \dots + f_r(v_r)$$

which is the unique K -linear map s.t. $f \circ v_j = f_j$,

i.e.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigoplus V_i & \xrightarrow{f} & W \\ v_j \uparrow & \nearrow f_j & \\ V_j & & \end{array} \quad \text{commutes, for } j=1-r.$$

It is G -equivariant since

$$\begin{aligned} f(g \cdot (v_i - v_r)) &= f(g \cdot v_i - g \cdot v_r) \\ &= f_i(g \cdot v_i) + \dots + f_r(g \cdot v_r) \\ &= g \cdot f_i(v_i) + \dots + g \cdot f_r(v_r) \\ &= g \cdot (f_i(v_i) + \dots + f_r(v_r)) \\ &= g \cdot f(v_i - v_r). \end{aligned}$$

• Universal property of a product:

Given G -elems $f_j: W \rightarrow V_j$ for $j=1-r$ and some G -repr. W ,

$$f: W \longrightarrow \bigoplus V_i$$

$$w \longmapsto (f_1(w), \dots, f_r(w))$$

is the unique k -linear map s.t. $\pi_j \circ f = f_j$,

i.e.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 W & \xrightarrow{f} & \bigoplus V_i \\
 & \searrow f_j & \downarrow \pi_j \\
 & & V_j
 \end{array}$$

commutes, for all $j=1-r$.

It is G -equivariant since

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(g \cdot w) &= (f_1(g \cdot w), \dots, f_r(g \cdot w)) \\
 &= (g \cdot f_1(w), \dots, g \cdot f_r(w)) \\
 &= g \cdot (f_1(w), \dots, f_r(w)) \\
 &= g \cdot f(w).
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Rem: • Note that for $r=0$, $\bigoplus_{i=1}^0 V_i = \{0\}$. We conclude that $\{0\}$ is a zero object in $\text{Rep}_k(G)$, i.e.

for every G -repr. V there are unique G -hom

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 0: \{0\} & \longrightarrow & V & \text{and} & 0: V & \longrightarrow & \{0\} \\
 0 & \longmapsto & 0 & & v & \longmapsto & 0
 \end{array}$$

(or: $\{0\}$ is initial and terminal in $\text{Rep}_k(G)$)

• The zero G -hom $0: V \rightarrow W$ is characterized

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 v & \longmapsto & v \\
 V & \xrightarrow{0} & \{0\} \xrightarrow{0} & W
 \end{array}$$

as the composition of the terminal G -hom. from V with the initial G -hom. to W .